## COMP 761: Lecture 19 - Probability III

**David Rolnick** 

October 19, 2020

David Rolnick

COMP 761: Probability III

Oct 19, 2020 1 / 23

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

#### Problem

What is the variance of the random variable that takes all values between *a* and *b* with equal probability? (uniform distribution)

(Please don't post your ideas in the chat just yet, we'll discuss the problem soon in class.)

## **Course Announcements**

David Rolnick

• • • • • • • • • • • •

#### **Course Announcements**

• Office hours today right after class

• • • • • • • • • • •

David Rolnick

COMP 761: Probability III

> < ≣ > ≣ 
> Q< C</li>
Oct 19, 2020
4 / 23

Good point raised in the Slack: If p(A | B) = p(A), are A and B independent?

Good point raised in the Slack: If p(A | B) = p(A), are A and B independent?

Yes!

$$p(A \mid B)p(B) = p(A \cap B),$$

so if p(A | B) = p(A) then  $p(A)p(B) = p(A \cap B)$ .

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Good point raised in the Slack: If p(A | B) = p(A), are A and B independent?

Yes!

$$p(A \mid B)p(B) = p(A \cap B),$$

so if p(A | B) = p(A) then  $p(A)p(B) = p(A \cap B)$ .

Reverse is true too: if A and B independent, then p(A | B) = p(A) and p(B | A) = p(B).

David Rolnick

ъ

・ロト ・日下 ・ ヨト ・

• How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?

- E - N

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.
- So that requires 2 bits of information.

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.
- So that requires 2 bits of information.
- If you had 8 events with probability 1/8, it would be 3 bits.

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.
- So that requires 2 bits of information.
- If you had 8 events with probability 1/8, it would be 3 bits.
- In general, for probability p, would be  $\log_2 1/p = -\log_2 p$ .

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.
- So that requires 2 bits of information.
- If you had 8 events with probability 1/8, it would be 3 bits.
- In general, for probability p, would be  $\log_2 1/p = -\log_2 p$ .
- If 1 event has probability 1/2 and there are 2 of probability 1/4, then most efficient to use 0, 10, 11 (essentially, merging 00 & 01).

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.
- So that requires 2 bits of information.
- If you had 8 events with probability 1/8, it would be 3 bits.
- In general, for probability p, would be  $\log_2 1/p = -\log_2 p$ .
- If 1 event has probability 1/2 and there are 2 of probability 1/4, then most efficient to use 0, 10, 11 (essentially, merging 00 & 01).
- Total expected number of bits:  $(1/2) \cdot 1 + (1/4) \cdot 2 + (1/4) \cdot 2$ .

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.
- So that requires 2 bits of information.
- If you had 8 events with probability 1/8, it would be 3 bits.
- In general, for probability p, would be  $\log_2 1/p = -\log_2 p$ .
- If 1 event has probability 1/2 and there are 2 of probability 1/4, then most efficient to use 0, 10, 11 (essentially, merging 00 & 01).
- Total expected number of bits:  $(1/2) \cdot 1 + (1/4) \cdot 2 + (1/4) \cdot 2$ .
- Another example, if probabilities 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/8:

$$(1/2) \cdot 1 + (1/4) \cdot 2 + (1/8) \cdot 3 + (1/8) \cdot 3.$$

- How much information is needed to represent events from a probability distribution?
- If you have 4 events each with probability 1/4, a compressed way to represent them is 00, 01, 10, 11.
- So that requires 2 bits of information.
- If you had 8 events with probability 1/8, it would be 3 bits.
- In general, for probability p, would be  $\log_2 1/p = -\log_2 p$ .
- If 1 event has probability 1/2 and there are 2 of probability 1/4, then most efficient to use 0, 10, 11 (essentially, merging 00 & 01).
- Total expected number of bits:  $(1/2) \cdot 1 + (1/4) \cdot 2 + (1/4) \cdot 2$ .
- Another example, if probabilities 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/8:

$$(1/2) \cdot 1 + (1/4) \cdot 2 + (1/8) \cdot 3 + (1/8) \cdot 3.$$

Generalization:

$$\sum_{x} p(X = x) \log_2(1/p(X = x)) = -\sum_{x} p(X = x) \log_2(p(X = x)).$$

David Rolnick

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• The *entropy* of a probability distribution is defined like this, only base *e* (easier to work with, just differs by a multiplicative constant):

$$H(p) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log(p(x)).$$

A D M A A A M M

- 4 ∃ →

$$H(p) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log(p(x)).$$

- (Using shorthand p(x) for p(X = x).)
- Entropy is always nonnegative why?

$$H(p) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log(p(x)).$$

- (Using shorthand p(x) for p(X = x).)
- Entropy is always nonnegative why?
- We have p(x) ≥ 0 and log(p(x)) < 0, so every term in the sum is negative.</li>

$$H(p) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log(p(x)).$$

- (Using shorthand p(x) for p(X = x).)
- Entropy is always nonnegative why?
- We have p(x) ≥ 0 and log(p(x)) < 0, so every term in the sum is negative.</li>
- When is *H*(*p*) = 0?

$$H(p) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log(p(x)).$$

- (Using shorthand p(x) for p(X = x).)
- Entropy is always nonnegative why?
- We have p(x) ≥ 0 and log(p(x)) < 0, so every term in the sum is negative.</li>
- When is *H*(*p*) = 0?
- If the only event with nonzero probability has p(x) = 1, so zero uncertainty.

$$H(p) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log(p(x)).$$

- (Using shorthand p(x) for p(X = x).)
- Entropy is always nonnegative why?
- We have p(x) ≥ 0 and log(p(x)) < 0, so every term in the sum is negative.</li>
- When is H(p) = 0?
- If the only event with nonzero probability has p(x) = 1, so zero uncertainty.
- Continuous set of events:

$$H(p) = -\int p(x) \log(p(x)) \, dx.$$

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

• Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be the probabilities of the different values.

$$H = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \log(p_k).$$

< < >> < <</p>

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

• Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be the probabilities of the different values.

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

• How do we get an upper bound for this?

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

- How do we get an upper bound for this?
- How could we use Jensen's inequality? What function?

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

- How do we get an upper bound for this?
- How could we use Jensen's inequality? What function?
- Let's try z log z. Is it concave or convex?

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

- How do we get an upper bound for this?
- How could we use Jensen's inequality? What function?
- Let's try z log z. Is it concave or convex?
- We have

$$\frac{d}{dz}(z\log z) = z\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) + 1\left(\log z\right) = 1 + \log z$$

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

- How do we get an upper bound for this?
- How could we use Jensen's inequality? What function?
- Let's try z log z. Is it concave or convex?
- We have

$$\frac{d}{dz}(z\log z) = z\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) + 1\left(\log z\right) = 1 + \log z$$
$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}(z\log z) = \frac{d}{dz}\left(1 + \log z\right) = \frac{1}{z}.$$

If there are n different values for X, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

• Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be the probabilities of the different values.

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

- How do we get an upper bound for this?
- How could we use Jensen's inequality? What function?
- Let's try z log z. Is it concave or convex?
- We have

$$\frac{d}{dz}(z\log z) = z\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) + 1\left(\log z\right) = 1 + \log z$$
$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}(z\log z) = \frac{d}{dz}\left(1 + \log z\right) = \frac{1}{z}.$$

• Since z > 0 we have that  $z \log z$  is convex.

If there are *n* different events, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

• Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be the probabilities of the different events.

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

• We have that *z* log *z* is convex.

If there are *n* different events, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

- We have that *z* log *z* is convex.
- Then:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \log(p_k)}{n} \geq \left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right)$$

If there are *n* different events, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

- We have that *z* log *z* is convex.
- Then:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \log(p_k)}{n} \ge \left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$
# Entropy

If there are *n* different events, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

• Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be the probabilities of the different events.

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

• We have that *z* log *z* is convex.

Then:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \log(p_k)}{n} \ge \left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

• Multiplying by -n, get  $H \le -\log(1/n) = \log(n)$ .

# Entropy

If there are *n* different events, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

• Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be the probabilities of the different events.

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

• We have that *z* log *z* is convex.

Then:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \log(p_k)}{n} \ge \left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

- Multiplying by -n, get  $H \leq -\log(1/n) = \log(n)$ .
- Is this value achievable? If so, when?

# Entropy

If there are *n* different events, what is the maximum possible value for the entropy?

• Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be the probabilities of the different events.

$$H=-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log(p_k).$$

• We have that *z* log *z* is convex.

Then:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \log(p_k)}{n} \ge \left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

- Multiplying by -n, get  $H \le -\log(1/n) = \log(n)$ .
- Is this value achievable? If so, when?
- Yes! Equality in Jensen's Inequality when all  $p_k$  equal.

David Rolnick

David Rolnick

• What happens if we know that X = x but don't know Y = y?

- What happens if we know that X = x but don't know Y = y?
- How much additional information is needed to get Y?

A D M A A A M M

- What happens if we know that X = x but don't know Y = y?
- How much additional information is needed to get Y?

• Need 
$$-\log(p(Y = y | X = x)).$$

A D M A A A M M

ŀ

- What happens if we know that X = x but don't know Y = y?
- How much additional information is needed to get Y?
- Need  $-\log(p(Y = y | X = x))$ .
- Finding the expected value of that:

$$H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \left( -\log(p(Y = y \mid X = x)) \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)}\right).$$

1

- What happens if we know that X = x but don't know Y = y?
- How much additional information is needed to get Y?
- Need  $-\log(p(Y = y | X = x))$ .
- Finding the expected value of that:

$$H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \left( -\log(p(Y = y \mid X = x)) \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)}\right).$$

• This is the called the *conditional entropy*.

- What happens if we know that X = x but don't know Y = y?
- How much additional information is needed to get Y?
- Need  $-\log(p(Y = y | X = x))$ .
- Finding the expected value of that:

$$H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \left( -\log(p(Y = y \mid X = x)) \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)}\right).$$

- This is the called the *conditional entropy*.
- Compare to the standard entropy:

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x} p(X = x) \log(p(X = x)).$$

- What happens if we know that X = x but don't know Y = y?
- How much additional information is needed to get Y?
- Need  $-\log(p(Y = y | X = x)).$
- Finding the expected value of that:

$$H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \left( -\log(p(Y = y \mid X = x)) \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)}\right).$$

- This is the called the *conditional entropy*.
- Compare to the standard entropy:

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x} p(X = x) \log(p(X = x)).$$

• As with H(X), can show  $H(Y \mid X) \ge 0$ .

David Rolnick

• We define the *mutual information*:

 $I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X).$ 

• We define the *mutual information*:

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X).$$

• Let's expand it:

$$I(X; Y) = -\sum_{y} p(Y = y) \log(p(Y = y))$$
$$+ \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)}\right)$$

• We define the *mutual information*:

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X).$$

• Let's expand it:

$$I(X; Y) = -\sum_{y} p(Y = y) \log(p(Y = y)) + \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)}\right) = -\sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log(p(Y = y)) + \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)}\right) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log\left(\frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)}\right)$$

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y | X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

• What can we learn from this?

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y | X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

- What can we learn from this?
- If we switch *X* and *Y*, it's the same:

$$I(X; Y) = I(Y; X) = H(X) - H(X \mid Y).$$

David Rolnick

4 A N

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y | X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

- What can we learn from this?
- If we switch *X* and *Y*, it's the same:

$$I(X; Y) = I(Y; X) = H(X) - H(X \mid Y).$$

• If X and Y are independent, then

$$\log\left(\frac{p(X=x, Y=y)}{p(X=x)p(Y=y)}\right) = \log 1 = 0.$$

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right)$$

- What can we learn from this?
- If we switch X and Y, it's the same:

$$I(X; Y) = I(Y; X) = H(X) - H(X \mid Y).$$

• If X and Y are independent, then

$$\log\left(\frac{p(X=x,Y=y)}{p(X=x)p(Y=y)}\right) = \log 1 = 0.$$

Can think of *I*(*X*; *Y*) as being the information gained about *Y* by knowing *X*, or vice versa.

David Rolnick

COMP 761: Probability III

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y | X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

Image: A mathematical states of the state

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

• Intuitively should be true that  $I(X; Y) \ge 0$ .

< 47 ▶

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

- Intuitively should be true that  $I(X; Y) \ge 0$ .
- Can show by Jensen's inequality.

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{x,y} p(X = x, Y = y) \log \left( \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(X = x)p(Y = y)} \right).$$

- Intuitively should be true that  $I(X; Y) \ge 0$ .
- Can show by Jensen's inequality.
- Unfortunately it is not true that log \$\begin{pmatrix} p(X=x,Y=y) \\phi(Y=y) \end{pmatrix}\$ is always nonnegative.

David Rolnick

• Suppose we have a continuous-valued variable X.

< A >

- Suppose we have a continuous-valued variable X.
- The probability of X = x is always 0 for example, essentially impossible that a random person is exactly 2.00000003 meters high.

- Suppose we have a continuous-valued variable X.
- The probability of *X* = *x* is always 0 for example, essentially impossible that a random person is exactly 2.00000003 meters high.
- In that case, we have a probability density function  $p(x) \ge 0$ .

- Suppose we have a continuous-valued variable X.
- The probability of *X* = *x* is always 0 for example, essentially impossible that a random person is exactly 2.00000003 meters high.
- In that case, we have a probability density function  $p(x) \ge 0$ .
- p(x) is not the probability of X = x, because that would be 0.

- Suppose we have a continuous-valued variable X.
- The probability of *X* = *x* is always 0 for example, essentially impossible that a random person is exactly 2.00000003 meters high.
- In that case, we have a probability density function  $p(x) \ge 0$ .
- p(x) is not the probability of X = x, because that would be 0.
- Instead, can talk about the probability that X is between a and b:

$$\int_a^b p(x)\,dx.$$

- Suppose we have a continuous-valued variable X.
- The probability of *X* = *x* is always 0 for example, essentially impossible that a random person is exactly 2.00000003 meters high.
- In that case, we have a probability density function  $p(x) \ge 0$ .
- p(x) is not the probability of X = x, because that would be 0.
- Instead, can talk about the probability that X is between a and b:

$$\int_a^b p(x)\,dx.$$

And we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \, dx = 1.$$

J

- Suppose we have a continuous-valued variable X.
- The probability of *X* = *x* is always 0 for example, essentially impossible that a random person is exactly 2.00000003 meters high.
- In that case, we have a probability density function  $p(x) \ge 0$ .
- p(x) is not the probability of X = x, because that would be 0.
- Instead, can talk about the probability that X is between a and b:

$$\int_a^b p(x)\,dx.$$

And we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \, dx = 1.$$

 Note that p(x) can be bigger than 1 (though must be nonnegative or there would be an interval [a, b] with negative probability).

J

David Rolnick

イロト イヨト イヨト

• The *uniform distribution* on [a, b] is the distribution where  $a \le x \le b$  and p(x) is equal everywhere.

- The *uniform distribution* on [a, b] is the distribution where  $a \le x \le b$  and p(x) is equal everywhere.
- What is the right constant value C of p(x)?

4 A N

- The uniform distribution on [a, b] is the distribution where a ≤ x ≤ b and p(x) is equal everywhere.
- What is the right constant value *C* of *p*(*x*)?
- We want:

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} p(x) \, dx$$
$$= \int_{a}^{b} C \, dx = (Cx)_{x=b} - (Cx)_{x=a} = C(b-a).$$
- The uniform distribution on [a, b] is the distribution where a ≤ x ≤ b and p(x) is equal everywhere.
- What is the right constant value *C* of *p*(*x*)?
- We want:

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} p(x) \, dx$$
$$= \int_{a}^{b} C \, dx = (Cx)_{x=b} - (Cx)_{x=a} = C(b-a).$$

• So 
$$p(x) = C = 1/(b-a)$$
.

David Rolnick

COMP 761: Probability III

х





• 
$$p(x) = 1/(b-a)$$
.

David Rolnick



• 
$$p(x) = 1/(b-a)$$
.

• What is the mean of *p*(*x*)?



• 
$$p(x) = 1/(b-a)$$
.

- What is the mean of *p*(*x*)?
- Pretty clear that it is (a+b)/2, but can also calculate:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{a}^{b} xp(x) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x}{(b-a)} \, dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{x^{2}}{2(b-a)}\right)_{x=b} - \left(\frac{x^{2}}{2(b-a)}\right)_{x=a} = \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{2(b-a)} = \frac{b+a}{2}$$

David Rolnick

David Rolnick

イロト イヨト イヨト

• 
$$p(x) = 1/(b-a)$$
.

イロト イヨト イヨト

- p(x) = 1/(b-a).
- What about variance?

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

- p(x) = 1/(b-a).
- What about variance?

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \int_a^b x^2 p(x) \, dx = \int_a^b x^2 / (b-a) \, dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{x^3}{3(b-a)}\right)_{x=b} - \left(\frac{x^3}{3(b-a)}\right)_{x=a} = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3(b-a)} = \frac{b^2 + ab + a^2}{3}$$

- p(x) = 1/(b-a).
- What about variance?

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \int_a^b x^2 p(x) \, dx = \int_a^b x^2 / (b-a) \, dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{x^3}{3(b-a)}\right)_{x=b} - \left(\frac{x^3}{3(b-a)}\right)_{x=a} = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3(b-a)} = \frac{b^2 + ab + a^2}{3}$$

So we have

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^{2}] - \mathbb{E}[X]^{2} = \frac{b^{2} + ab + a^{2}}{3} - \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}$$
$$= \frac{4b^{2} + 4ab + 4a^{2}}{12} - \frac{3(a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2})}{12}$$
$$= \frac{b^{2} - 2ab + a^{2}}{12} = \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{12}.$$

4 A N

David Rolnick

イロト イヨト イヨト

• The *Gaussian* or *normal* distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is given by:

$$p(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}.$$

< 47 ▶

• The *Gaussian* or *normal* distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is given by:

$$\rho(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}.$$

• Can calculate (with some thorny integrals) that indeed  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx = 1$ 

• The *Gaussian* or *normal* distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is given by:

$$\rho(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}.$$

- Can calculate (with some thorny integrals) that indeed  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx = 1$
- And that the Gaussian has mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

• Suppose *X* and *Y* are independent random variables.

• Suppose *X* and *Y* are independent random variables.

• We know 
$$\mathbb{E}[X + Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$$
 and  $Var[X, Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y]$ .

- Suppose *X* and *Y* are independent random variables.
- We know  $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$  and Var[X, Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y].
- If *X*, *Y* are Gaussian, it's better than that their sum is Gaussian too.

- Suppose *X* and *Y* are independent random variables.
- We know  $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$  and Var[X, Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y].
- If *X*, *Y* are Gaussian, it's better than that their sum is Gaussian too.
- If X is distributed by N(μ<sub>1</sub>, σ<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>) and Y is independently distributed by N(μ<sub>2</sub>, σ<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>), then X + Y is distributed by N(μ<sub>1</sub> + μ<sub>2</sub>, σ<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> + σ<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>).

David Rolnick

COMP 761: Probability III

Oct 19, 2020 19 / 23

*N*(μ, σ<sup>2</sup>) is the distribution with maximum entropy out of all distributions with mean μ and variance σ<sup>2</sup>.

- *N*(μ, σ<sup>2</sup>) is the distribution with maximum entropy out of all distributions with mean μ and variance σ<sup>2</sup>.
- (Can be proved with Lagrange multipliers.)

- *N*(μ, σ<sup>2</sup>) is the distribution with maximum entropy out of all distributions with mean μ and variance σ<sup>2</sup>.
- (Can be proved with Lagrange multipliers.)
- What is the maximum entropy distribution if you just assume it has mean μ?

- *N*(μ, σ<sup>2</sup>) is the distribution with maximum entropy out of all distributions with mean μ and variance σ<sup>2</sup>.
- (Can be proved with Lagrange multipliers.)
- What is the maximum entropy distribution if you just assume it has mean μ?
- Suppose p(x) = 1/n for *n* different values with mean  $\mu$ .

- *N*(μ, σ<sup>2</sup>) is the distribution with maximum entropy out of all distributions with mean μ and variance σ<sup>2</sup>.
- (Can be proved with Lagrange multipliers.)
- What is the maximum entropy distribution if you just assume it has mean μ?
- Suppose p(x) = 1/n for *n* different values with mean  $\mu$ .
- Then, entropy is log(*n*).

- *N*(μ, σ<sup>2</sup>) is the distribution with maximum entropy out of all distributions with mean μ and variance σ<sup>2</sup>.
- (Can be proved with Lagrange multipliers.)
- What is the maximum entropy distribution if you just assume it has mean μ?
- Suppose p(x) = 1/n for *n* different values with mean  $\mu$ .
- Then, entropy is log(*n*).
- By taking  $n \to \infty$ , we can make the entropy arbitrarily big.

- *N*(μ, σ<sup>2</sup>) is the distribution with maximum entropy out of all distributions with mean μ and variance σ<sup>2</sup>.
- (Can be proved with Lagrange multipliers.)
- What is the maximum entropy distribution if you just assume it has mean μ?
- Suppose p(x) = 1/n for *n* different values with mean  $\mu$ .
- Then, entropy is log(*n*).
- By taking  $n \to \infty$ , we can make the entropy arbitrarily big.
- So there isn't a maximum if don't constrain variance! Entropy can go to infinity.

David Rolnick

COMP 761: Probability III

। Oct 19, 2020 20 / 23

• • • • • • • • • • •

• The graph of  $\binom{n}{k}$  for k = 0, 1, ..., n approaches the Gaussian.

< A > < > >

- The graph of  $\binom{n}{k}$  for k = 0, 1, ..., n approaches the Gaussian.
- Therefore, number of heads for *n* coin flips is approx Gaussian:



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- The graph of  $\binom{n}{k}$  for k = 0, 1, ..., n approaches the Gaussian.
- Therefore, number of heads for *n* coin flips is approx Gaussian:



• More generally, the *Central Limit Theorem* says the sum of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  drawn independently from the same distribution approaches a Gaussian as  $n \to \infty$  regardless of the distribution.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

David Rolnick

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

• Random things can be surprisingly predictable.

- Random things can be surprisingly predictable.
- Given a large number *n* of fair coin flips, the expected number of heads is *n*/2.

4 A N

- Random things can be surprisingly predictable.
- Given a large number *n* of fair coin flips, the expected number of heads is *n*/2.
- And we would be shocked to get n/4.

- Random things can be surprisingly predictable.
- Given a large number *n* of fair coin flips, the expected number of heads is *n*/2.
- And we would be shocked to get n/4.
- Same thing for big random matrices.
#### **Random matrices**

- Random things can be surprisingly predictable.
- Given a large number n of fair coin flips, the expected number of heads is n/2.
- And we would be shocked to get n/4.
- Same thing for big random matrices.
- Random matrix is an entire field :)

## **Random matrices**

- Random things can be surprisingly predictable.
- Given a large number *n* of fair coin flips, the expected number of heads is *n*/2.
- And we would be shocked to get n/4.
- Same thing for big random matrices.
- Random matrix is an entire field :)
- Useful in everything from quantum to machine learning.

David Rolnick

COMP 761: Probability III

। Oct 19, 2020 22 / 23

• We know the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are real.

4 A N

- We know the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are real.
- What are the eigenvalues if *n* goes to infinity, and *A* is random?

- We know the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are real.
- What are the eigenvalues if *n* goes to infinity, and *A* is random?
- Let's assume each entry taken independently of the others from a Gaussian.

- We know the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are real.
- What are the eigenvalues if *n* goes to infinity, and *A* is random?
- Let's assume each entry taken independently of the others from a Gaussian.
- Then if we do a histogram of *n* eigenvalues, it looks like a semicircle!





# **Linear Programs I**

Image: A match a ma